

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

It is respectfully submitted that new background of invention clearly distinguish over the prior art, since a little bit mistakes is corrected and underlined.


In view of these considerations, it is respectfully submitted that old background of invention should be considered as no longer acceptable with respect to new background of invention.

The new background of invention should be considered as clearly distinguishing over the art and should be allowed.

Respectfully submitted:

Kyoung Hae Kim
Inventor's name, applicant

Signature:



Kyoung Hae Kim
Name of person mailing

Altogether 38 sheets of paper, 10 sheets of drawing

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS


It is respectfully submitted that new brief summary of the invention clearly distinguish over the prior art, since a little bit mistakes is corrected and underlined.

In view of these considerations, it is respectfully submitted that old brief summary of the invention should be considered as no longer acceptable with respect to new brief summary of the invention The new brief summary of the invention should be considered as clearly distinguishing over the art and should be allowed.

Respectfully submitted:

Kyoung Hae Kim
Inventor's name, applicant

Signature:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Kyoung Hae Kim', written over a horizontal line.

Kyoung Hae KIM
Name of person mailing

Altogether 38 sheets of paper, 10 sheets of drawing

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS


It is respectfully submitted that new brief description of the several views of the drawing clearly distinguish over the prior art, since drawing is added for some more detail explanation and a little bit mistakes is corrected and underlined.

In view of these considerations, it is respectfully submitted that old brief description of the several views of the drawing should be considered as no longer acceptable with respect to the new brief description of the several views of the drawing. Brief description of the several views of the drawing and the including Fig.3.C., Fig.3.D, Fig.4.A, Fig.4.B. brief description of the several views of the drawing should be considered as clearly distinguishing over the art and should be allowed.

Respectfully submitted:

Kyoung Hae Kim
Inventor's name, applicant

Signature:



Kyoung Hae Kim
Name of person mailing

Altogether 38 sheets of paper, 10 sheets of drawing

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS


It is respectfully submitted that new reference numerals in drawings clearly distinguish over the prior art, since drawing is a little bit corrected and drawings are added, so No. 58. reference numeral will be cancelled and reference numerals No.39., No.47., No.49., No.50., and from No.56. – to 131. reference numerals will be added.

In view of these considerations, it is respectfully submitted that the cancelled, No.58, reference numeral should be considered as no longer acceptable with respect to the corrected drawing. The reference numerals and added No.39., No.47., and added No.49., No.50., and from No.56. – to No.131. reference numerals should be considered as clearly distinguishing over the art and should be allowed.

Respectfully submitted:

Kyoung Hae Kim
Inventor's name, applicant

Signature:



Kyoung Hae Kim
Name of person mailing

Altogether 38 sheets of paper, 10 sheets of drawing

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS


It is respectfully submitted that the detailed description of the invention clearly and acceptably distinguish over the prior art, since it is clear that Fig. 3.C., Fi.3.D., Fig.4.A., Fig.4.B., drawing is added. And a little bit mistakes is corrected and underlined.

In view of these considerations, it is respectfully submitted that the old detailed description of the invention should be considered as no longer acceptable with respect to the new detailed description of the invention. The including Fig.3.C., Fig. 3. D., Fig.4.A., Fig.4.B. detailed description of the invention should be considered as clearly distinguishing over the art and should be allowed.

Respectfully submitted:

Kyoung Hae Kim
Inventor's name, applicant

Signature:



Kyoung Hae Kim
Name of person mailing

Altogether 38 sheets of paper, 10 sheets of drawing

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

It is respectfully submitted that the conclusion, ramifications, and scope clearly and acceptably distinguish over the prior art, since it is clear that the end of the portion is partially added.


In view of these considerations, it is respectfully submitted that the old conclusion, ramification, and scope should be considered as no longer acceptable with respect to the added conclusion, ramifications, and scope.

The added conclusion, ramifications, and scope should be considered as acceptably distinguishing over the art and should be allowed.

Respectfully submitted:

Kyoung Hae Kim
Inventor's name, applicant

Signature:



Kyoung Hae Kim
Name of person mailing

Altogether 38 sheets of paper, 10 sheets of drawing

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

It is respectfully submitted that currently amended claim and previously presented claim clearly and acceptably distinguish over the prior art, since it is clear that all claims are clearly written and completely written.


In view of these considerations, it is respectfully submitted that 1, 2, 3, 4 original claims should be considered as no longer acceptable with respect to the currently amended claims and previously presented claim.

Currently amended claim 1, 2, 3, 4, and previously presented claim 5 should be considered as clearly distinguishing over the art and should be allowed.

Respectfully submitted:


Kyoung Hae Kim
Inventor's name applicant

Signature:



Kyoung Hae Kim
Name of person mailing

Altogether 38 sheets of paper, 10 sheets of drawing






FIG. 3.D




FIG. 4.A.




FIG. 4.B